



# The CESAR Pathways Project: *An Overview*

July 3, 2017

For Information:

**David B. Layzell, PhD, FRSC.** Professor and Director, Canadian Energy Systems Analysis Research (CESAR) Initiative, Univ. of Calgary. Web: <u>www.cesarnet.ca</u> Email: <u>dlayzell@ucalgary.ca</u>





## Why did we Fail?

Targets were set in the absence of a Vision and/or a Strategy for how to reach the objectives;

The Strategy needs to include quantitative, evidence-supported details of the
Pathway(s) envisaged to achieve the objectives. This includes the nature and timing of technological, infrastructure and behavioural changes.

□ Lack of political will and public support



#### Why Pathways?

- To create tools for public engagement;
- To define the necessary timing and conditions for deployment;
- To identify potential winners and losers, and/or decision milestones;
- To provide metrics by which to measure progress towards the goal.

What about the Paris Commitments?



## Pan-Canadian Framework

2-25 Pathway to Canada's 2030 target



From ECCC 2017, Nat'l Inventory Report





Four Scenarios are envisaged for the CESAR Pathways Project:

- A. 'NEB' Reference
- B. 'CESAR' Reference
- **C. Transition Lite** (gentle movement of the 'levers' impacting GHGs)
- **D. Deep Decarbonization** (adjust 'levers' sufficient to meet targets)



## The CESAR Pathways Project





## A: Personal Mobility

#### Problems:

- ✓ Car Accidents (~5% GDP)
- ✓ Congestion (productivity)
- ✓ Value for \$ (cars unused 96%)
- ✓ Land use (esp. parking)
- ✓ Air pollution
- ✓ GHG emissions

### Disruptive Forces (+/-):

- Autonomous Vehicles
- Shared Vehicles
- Electric Vehicles
- Generational changes

### Key Energy Transition Opportunities:

- > Convergence of Autonomous, Shared & Electric Vehicles:
  - With autonomous: Improved safety & productivity, reduced congestion only if integrated with public transit;
  - ... + shared: lower costs, more convenient, right-sizing, electrification, reduced air pollution and GHG emissions;
  - · Fewer registered vehicles on road, but more VKT/yr and PKT/yr;
  - New tax system to replace gas tax, discourage sprawl;
  - Densification of Urban design: reduced parking, more walkable communities;
  - Reduction in oil demand, price, production, emissions.



192 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Supply Chain

76 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Pers. Mobility.

97 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

**B: Supply Chain** 

#### Problems:

- ✓ Air pollution (esp. PM)
- ✓ GHG emissions
- ✓ Rapid growth in demand
- ✓ Empty km travelled
- ✓ Mode shift: trains→trucks

### Disruptive Forces (+/-):

- > Retail  $\rightarrow$  internet shopping
- Autonomous Trucks
- Electric Vehicles (esp. for 'last mile')
- Big data and robotics
- New biofuels / synfuels

### Key Energy Transition Opportunities:

- Possible mode shift back to rail for LD freight (500 km+); may need changes in track vs. train ownership;
- Fuel / engine changes:
  - Electrification where possible
  - Alternative → Biofuels: Poss. CNG vehicles then RNG and SNG (Power to Gas)
- Improved vehicle use efficiency



Α



76 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Pers. Mobility.

97 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

## C: Industry

#### Problems:

✓ GHG emissions

 $\checkmark$  Air, water, land impacts

### (Disruptive) Forces (+/-):

- Reduction or Increases in Demand (varies with sector)
- Cogeneration w/ fuel cells or nuclear
- Electrification
- Carbon capture and storage

### Key Energy Transition Opportunities:

- Demand reduction (e,g. oil) or increase (mining);
- Cogeneration (heat and power), esp. in prov. with thermal FF power;
- Technology changes to reduce energy use or emissions (electrification in mining; new cement technologies; more steel recycling);
- Carbon Capture and Storage where possible (poss. coupled to MCFC and SOFC)

2015

Α

# D. Smart Grids & Efficient Spaces



#### Problems:

UNIVERSITY OF

722 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e

**Biological Systems** 

**Power Generation** 

105 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

79 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

86 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

88 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Fossil fuel  $\rightarrow$  fuels

192 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Supply Chain

76 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

Pers. Mobility.

97 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

**Buildings** 

Industry

Е

¥

1

D

С

Α

2015

- GHG Emissions (Grid and space/water heating);
- Legacy grid (one way only);
- Low efficiency builds;
- Car, not people centric communities

#### Disruptive forces (+/-)

- Lower cost solar, wind & energy storage;
- Prosumers etc. undermining utility model;
- Internet of Things, big, open data & artificial intelligence;
- Smart communities (cities, buildings, connected devices)

#### Key Energy Transition Opportunities:

- > New building standards (more efficient, comfortable and fit-for-purpose);
- Reduce waste by repurposing heat, cold & biomass;
- Electrify space conditioning with integrated designs & heat pumps;
- Integrate energy efficiency (demand side management), demand response (load flexibility) & clean, distributed energy generation;
- Combine renewables with storage and sufficient East-West grid connectivity



Α

2015

Pers. Mobility.

97 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>e/yr

## E. Biological Solutions

#### Problems:

- Land and water impacts;
- GHG Emissions (esp agric.);
- Inefficient use of bio-base energy and C stocks.

#### Disruptive forces (+/-)

- Climate change itself;
- Canada's role in feeding 9.5B by 2050?;
- Biotechnology (esp. Crispr);
- Biofuel technologies;
- Carbon management strategies.

#### Key Energy Transition Opportunities:

- Increase in agricultural inputs & production;
- Enhanced use of wood/straw/MSW for biofuels and/or biocarbon storage;
- Precision agriculture and biotechnologies to reduce CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions;
- Possible dietary changes to reduce demand for high footprint pathways;
- > Afforestation, reforestation, silviculture to build and protect C stocks







- 1. Comments / questions / concerns / suggestions regarding the CESAR Pathway Project?
- 2. What existing government policies and programs should be included in our models? What outcomes do you expect or hope to see by 2030?
- 3. Are there other policy, technology, infrastructure or behavioural changes that you would like to see being explored in some of our scenarios?
- 4. Would it be useful to organize a Webinar in Fall 2017 to engage a broader group of your provincial government policy makers to review the state of our modeling efforts at that time and provide insights and suggestions?

Thank you for your input!