



Shortcomings and Gaps With Energy Systems Models and their Use in Canada

David B. Layzell, PhD, FRSC.

Professor and Director, Canadian Energy Systems Analysis Research (CESAR) Initiative, Univ. of Calgary. Web: <u>www.cesarnet.ca</u> Email: <u>dlayzell@ucalgary.ca</u> With input from CESAR Senior Associates:

Robert Hoffman, President, whatIf? Technologies **Ralph Torrie**, President, Torrie-Smith Associates

GENERATION ENERGY Moving Canada Forward

WORKSHOP ON PATHWAYS, FORECASTING AND ENERGY DATA

Lord Elgin Hotel, Ottawa, Ont. - Sept 12, 2017

Canada's Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions & Targets

GE AR



E **C**

...the technologies, infrastructure and behaviours that connect the fuels and electricity (i.e. "energy") provided by nature to the amenities that people want and need. Geography, Culture, Marketing GHGs GHGs WHAT ENERGY SYSTEMS WHAT NATURE WHAT PEOPLE WHAT **DEVELOPERS** CREATE: **PROVIDES:** ASK FOR: PEOPLE WANT/NEED: Harvesting Service Sources **Currencies Services** Amenity **Technologies Technologies** Oil Refinerv Coal Gasoline Automobile Community Comfortable. SAGD facility • Oil Diesel Telephone convenient, travel; · Comfort Hydraulic fracturing Natural gas Electricity • Light bulb Vacations: Convenience Anaerobic digester Sustenance Sunlight Methane Refrigerator Large homes Pipeline • X-ray machine Wind Ethanol • Food and drink; Illumination Nuclear power plant Uranium Hvdroaen Computer "Things" Healthy food · Coal power plant Biomass Furnace Health care Geothermal Gas turbine Clean water . . . Solar panel Status/value . . . Wind turbine

What are 'Energy Systems'?

To change, we must understand. To understand, we must Model.

Canadian Energy Systems Models GETAR

		Canadian Government			Consulting Companies / Universities				
Top Down (defined in Macro- economic Space)	Туре	NEB	ECCC	NRCan	Navius/SFU	EnviroEcon	esmia/um	WhatIf?	SEI/UA
	Macro- econometric	TIM [%]							
	Computable Gen. Equil.		EC-pro		GEEM				
Bottom Up (defined in bio- physical Space)	Optimization						NATEM		
	Consumer Choice	Energy 2020*							
	Exploratory Simulation							CanESS	LEAP
	Hybrid		E3MC		CIMS		MERGE		

ABBREVIATIONS: CanESS, Canadian Energy Systems Simulator; CIMS, Canadian Integrated Modelling System; ECCC, Environment and Climate Change Canada; ESMIA, Energy Super Modelers and International Analysts; GEEM, General Equilibrium Energy Model; LEAP, Long Range Energy Alternative Planning System; MERGE, Model for Evaluating the Regional and Global Effects of GHG reduction policies; NEB, National Energy Board; NATEM, North American Times Energy Model; NRCan, Natural Resources Canada; SEI, Stockholm Environmental Institute; SFU, Simon Fraser University; UA, Univ of Alberta; UM, Univ of Montreal Adapted from <u>IET 2017</u> "For a Sustained Energy Systems Modeling Init." Institut de l'énergie Trottier (IET), Canada

[%] Infometrica model currently being updated by Policymodels Corp * Owned by Systematic Solutions Inc. (USA)

How Does Canada Compare Internationally?

GE SAR

Other nations:

- □ Have stronger, more coordinated ES data and modeling efforts;
 - CCC (UK); SEA (Sweden); EIA (USA)
 - Coordinated energy Data collection and validation since 1970
- □ Use their universities to build ES modeling expertise
 - Core of CCC work (UK), SEA (Sweden) supports 70 PhD theses; EMF (USA)
- □ Maintain both 'Top Down' and 'Bottom Up' models to do their analyses;
- □ Actually use their models to make recommendations on targets (e.g. UK C budgets) and mitigation strategies (Sweden and UK even meet targets!);
- □ Use models to enhance energy literacy and engage the public

For details, see IET (2017), "For a Sustained Canadian Energy Systems Modelling Initiative", Institut de l'énergie Trottier (IET), Canada, <u>http://iet.polymtl.ca/en/publications/for-a-sustained-canadian-energy-systems-modelling-program/</u>



Energy Systems Models are Essential for Canada...

...but to deliver their full potential, four issues must be addressed:

- 1. Framing the Problem;
- 2. Transparency and Access;
- 3. Modeling Capacity;
- 4. Data Challenges

1. Framing the Problem:

ster

Policy

Maker

Persuasion

POLICY TOOLS

Regulation

Questions Asked of ES Models? GETAR

- What will be future demands for fuels and electricity (domestic and int'l)? What is our capacity to deliver? GHG implications?
- What impact would policy tool 'x' have on energy use and GHG emissions in area 'Y'?
- What policy tool(s) would work best to achieve significant GHG reductions in area 'Y', and what would that cost?

Rodin's 'The Thinker' from http:// www.maryhillmuseu m.org/

Standards

Energy Systems Models

- □ Macro-econometric
- Computable General Equilibrium
- Optimization
- Consumer Choice
- Hybrid

1. Framing the Problem: Great Questions... Get AR Problem: ...but will they Provide the Insights Needed to Achieve the Targets? ...No!

- □ Models tend to project incremental, not disruptive change
 - > **DISRUPTIVE** change may be necessary
- There are other **Disruptive** forces impacting 'human systems' that are more powerful than GHG policies – they need to be understood & in some cases 'directed'.
- Systems changes may be needed in sectors / behaviours that are little affected by energy costs.

Example: Personal Mobility System Kills / seriously injures over 10,000/year; Congestion reduces productivity; Expensive vehicles used only 3-4% time;

- Parking needs use valuable land;
- □ Cities car centric, not people centric;
- □ Air pollution;
- □ GHG emissions (tailpipe and upstream).

Example:

- Architects
 - .
- Engineers
- Urban Designers
- Researchers, innovators
- Telecommuting
- Diet
- U Where we live
- How we vacation

1. Framing the Problem:

Expanding the Insights Demanded from ES Models

What are the challenges and unintended consequences of our existing 'systems' and how could they be addressed in ways that <u>align</u> with our GHG objectives? How could **DISRUPTIVE** technologies and business models be <u>directed</u> to address societal goals (including GHGs)?

How rapidly could these changes be implemented, and what would be the costs, benefits and tradeoffs?

Rodin's 'The Thinker' from http:// www.maryhillmuseu m.org/

Policy

Maker

Decide <u>where</u> you want to go, before focusing on how best to get there.

Energy Systems Models

GE AR

Exploratory

2. Transparency & Access:

Cdn Science & Policy Would Benefit by Increasing Both

- Most, if not all, Cdn Energy Systems models are either privately or government owned:
 - □ Restricted access;
 - □ Not transparent;
 - □ Few understand how they work (assumptions, strengths, weaknesses).
- Ideally ownership of key models would be in a 'Not-for-Profit' with the funding and mandate to:
 - **Support** model improvements, improved access, manage source code;
 - **Create** excellent documentation;
 - **Co-fund** model use to address research or policy questions;
 - □ Improve energy literacy.

3. Modelling Capacity

Universities Need to Train Students Gerar Careers in Industry & Gov't.

- Models constantly need R&D:
 - Understand and communicate complex systems;
 - □ Incorporate better data, or new features;
 - □ Include new technology, infrastructure, behavioural options;
 - **Explore** new disruptive forces;
 - □ **Testing** policy options, new pathways
- Open source, open access, transparent models are essential
- Multi-disciplinary perspectives needed
- Need for workshops & conferences to present ideas, challenge / argue, set standards / protocols, recognize contributions.





This is such a major issue, it needs a another presentation...

Conclusions:

Energy Systems Models Get AR are Essential for Canada...

...but to deliver their full potential, four issues must be addressed: 1. (Re)Framing the Problem

2. Transparency and Access; • Need for a NFP with budget & mandate

- 3. Modeling Capacity;
 Build multi-disciplinary expertise
 A Data Challongos
- 4. Data Challenges